

C-8, 1001

4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

W.P. NO. 4966 2005

PETITIONER

: ✓ Anubhav Tiwari
S/o Mr. Murari Tiwari aged about 33 years,
posted as Salesman in Excise Department
Resident of "Laxmi Niwas", Sarkanda, Bilaspur
P.S. Sarkanda, Disstt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

Versus

RESPONDENTS

- : ✓ 1. The State of Chhattisgarh,
Through its Secretary, Commercial Tax
and Excise department, D.K.S. Bhavan,
Mantralaya, Raipur (C.G.).
2. Excise Commissioner
Office of the Chhattisgarh Excise
Commissioner, Raipur (C.G.)
3. Incharge Additional Commissioner
(Prabhari Apar Ayukta) Chhattisgarh
Raipur (C.G.)
4. General Manager, Chhattisgarh State
Beverage Corporation Limited,
Raipur (C.G.)
5. Assistant Commissioner, Excise
Bilaspur (C.G.)
6. Assistant Commissioner, Excise
Korba (C.G.)
7. Incharge Officer of the Chhattisgarh
State Beverage Corporation, Gatouri
Godown, Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.H. No. 4948/05
Presented by Rajesh Kashyap
dated 22/9/05



[Handwritten signature]

4

:: 2 ::

APPLICATION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF
INDIA FOR THE ISSUANCE OF THE WRIT/WRITES IN THE NATURE OF
MANDAMUS/ AND/OR CERTIORARI/ AND/OR PROHIBITION/ AND/OR/
OTHER APPROPRIATE DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS/ AND/OR ORDER/
ORDERS, may it pleased be your honour.

DETAILS OF THE PETITION

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition No. 4966 of 2005

Petitioner		Anubhav Tiwari
	Versus	
Respondents		The State of Chhattisgarh & others

Single Bench : Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri.

Shri Suresh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Smt. Anju Ahuja, Deputy Govt. Advocate for the respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on this 1st day of March, 2007)

The following order of the Court is passed by **Satish K. Agnihotri, J.**

1. The petitioner has filed this petition challenging the order dated 20.9.2005 (Annexure P/1), whereby the petitioner has been transferred from Bilaspur to Korba.
2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that with the passage of time, this petition has become infructuous, as the petitioner has already joined at the new place of posting i.e. Korba.
3. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner, on instructions, further submits that the petitioner may be permitted to withdraw this petition and with regard to the payment of salary for the period when the petitioner had not joined the transferred place of posting, liberty may be granted to the petitioner to file a representation before the concerned authority and the authority may be directed to consider the same in accordance with law.
4. In view of the above, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn, having become infructuous, with the liberty aforestated.
5. Consequently, M(W)P No. 4009/2005 stands dismissed.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge